Value for Money, a Health Economics Perspective

Presented by Stuart Keeping





Session overview

- Criteria for economic considerations in IPFR decision making
- Identify challenges to IPFR decision making
- Identify which factors should be considered when assessing value for money
- Identify where 'missing' information can be sourced to crudely assess value for money





IPFR decision-making factors

- Significant clinical benefit
- Evidence based considerations
- Ethical considerations
- Health economic considerations





IPFR criteria for economic considerations

IPFR panel decision-making factors:

- What is the *c*ost of the treatment?
- Is the cost of the treatment likely to be reasonable?
- Is the cost of the treatment in balance with the expected clinical benefits?

Evidence for consideration in decision-making:

- What is the specific cost of the treatment for this patient?
- What is the cost of this treatment when compared to the alternative treatment they will receive if the IPFR is declined (i.e. the comparator)?
- Has the concept of proportionality been considered? (striking a balance • between the rights of the individual and the impact on the wider community)
- Is the treatment reasonable **value for money**? \bullet







Challenges to IPFR decision making?

When compared with HTA appraisals, IPFRs differ significantly in terms of the quality and availability of evidence to judge value for money:

- Effectiveness data
- Effectiveness evidence for the sub-group/patient of interest
- Safety data
- Published health economic evaluations
- Resource use evidence
- Health related quality of life (HRQoL) data (e.g. EQ5D scores)





Crude estimates of value for money

- Gathering as much information together to compare costs and health gains between interventions helps assess value for money – <u>ask the clinician</u> to provide as much as possible
- You have probably already been weighing up the relationship between costs and benefits in your heads to make past decisions - this is what assessing value for money is all about!
- The following approaches should help you to make future value for money decisions in a more transparent, consistent way





Is an intervention value for money?

- The **incremental cost effectiveness ratio (ICER)** is used in health economics to assess value for money (i.e. cost effectiveness):
 - ICER = Difference in costs between interventions (A-B) Difference in benefit (QALYs) between interventions (A-B)
- The ICER
 - captures the relationship between costs and health benefits
 - allows comparison versus next best alternative intervention
 - use of QALYs (quality-adjusted life years) enables comparison of different types of intervention using a 'common currency'





Quality Adjusted Life Years (QALYs):

- measure the health benefits of treatments in terms of quality of life and survival (length of life)
- QALY = quality of life x quantity of life
- 1 year in perfect health = 1 QALY
- 1 year with quality of life at 50% perfect health = 0.5 QALY





Measuring and valuing quality of life:

using the EQ-5D questionnaire

EQ5D calculator generates HRQoL values, e.g.: (<u>https://www.economicsnetwork.ac.uk/health/</u> EQ_5D_index_calculator.xls)

e.g. **12311** = **0.452**

- Perfect health = 1.0
- Death = 0
- States worse than death <0
- Reduces naturally with age, e.g. age 75 = 0.73

AWTTC All Wales Therapeutics & Toxicology Centre Canolfan Therapiwteg a Thocsicoleg Cymru Gyfan

EQ-5D Questionnaire

Mobility

- 1. I have no problems in walking about **1**
- 2. I have some problems in walking about
- 3. I am confined to bed

Self Care

- 1. I have no problems with self care
- 2. I have some problems washing or dressing myself \square 2
- 3. I am unable to wash or dress myself

Usual Activities (e.g. work, study, housework, family or leisure activities)

1

- 1. I have no problems with performing my usual activities
- 2. I have some problems with performing my usual activities
- 3. I am unable to perform my usual activities ☑ 3

Pain / Discomfort

- 1. I have no pain or discomfort **1**
- 2. I have moderate pain or discomfort
- 3. I have extreme pain or discomfort

Anxiety / Depression

- 1. I am not anxious or depressed 🛛 🗹
- 2. I am moderately anxious or depressed
- 3. I am extremely anxious or depressed

Cost of treatments

- It is important to consider wider resource use to take into account opportunity costs (*i.e. the benefits forgone from using resources in an alternative way*)
- An intervention may have a relatively low acquisition cost, but have a high impact on other NHS resource use, or ongoing long-term associated costs
- It's important to explore the patient pathway and consider:
 - intervention acquisition costs
 - administration costs (self, health professional at home, clinic etc.)
 - monitoring costs (GP, specialists, scans)
 - costs of adverse events
 - primary/secondary care requirements (outpatient follow-ups, etc.)





Cost estimate sources

- IPFR document ask clinician to provide
- Acquisition costs:
 - MIMS, NHS Drug Tariff, BNF
 - manufacturer of devices
 - Local Health Board formulary lists (e.g. stoma products)
- NHS & Social Services resource use :
 - Personal Social Services Support Unit costs
 - https://www.pssru.ac.uk/project-pages/unit-costs/unit-costs-2017/ (updated annually)

or

- NHS References Costs
- https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/nhs-reference-costs (updated annually)
- We have included a common cost list in your packs





Estimating QALY benefits

- RCT study paper may report survival and/or disease progression data
- If unavailable work down hierarchy of evidence: observational, case study, expert opinion, etc.
- Pivotal studies do not always collect HRQoL data other studies?
- Other sources of HRQoL data include:
 - Sheffield utility data base <u>https://www.scharrhud.org/</u>
 - Electronic databases embase, medline
 - Contact the clinician to provide estimates of impact of intervention and comparator on EQ5D – this can provide a <u>very crude</u> estimate if struggling to find published utilities (patient or carer reports preferred)





AWMSG/NICE thresholds

- £20,000 £30,000 per QALY gained for 'normal' medicines
 - Closer to £30K/QALY gained requires lesser uncertainty around ICER estimates
- NICE threshold of up to £50,000 per QALY gained for end-of-life medicines, defined as:
 - life expectancy <24 months (median survival in control group of pivotal study)</p>
 - medicine offers an extension to life, normally ≥ three months, compared to current NHS treatment. The estimates of the extension to life should be robust and shown (or reasonably inferred) from either progression free survival or overall survival
- **Rare conditions**: AWMSG and NICE tend to accept higher ICERs





IPFR considerations

- No formal threshold
- Important to consider the magnitude of benefit and the context of the decision making:
 - is the intervention life transforming or life extending?
 - is it curative?
 - does it bridge a gap to other therapies?
 - length of treatment is it one-off, on-going, life-long?
 - how does this intervention fit into the patient pathway. For example, is this just a starting therapy, which is followed by a wider range of therapies?





Summary: To assess value for money it is important to

- consider the relationship between the costs and benefits of alternative interventions
- compare the intervention with what would otherwise be available the next best alternative treatment or best supportive care
- consider more than acquisition costs for the intervention under review and for the comparator
- consider the impact on wider NHS resource use which results from the changed pathway or associated adverse events
- compare effects of the treatment in terms of quality of life and survival – estimate plausible QALYs if feasible
- assess the relationship between costs and benefits produce a crude plausible ICER if feasible
- assess if the ICER is acceptable (i.e. does the intervention offer value for money?) – take into account the magnitude of benefits and context





	IPFR intervention	Comparator
Cost of intervention		
Cost difference		
Life Years gained		
QALYs gained		
QALY difference		
ICER		







Any questions?



